

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/652,245 | 09/02/2003 | Jui Wen Chen | FP9723 | 1861 | |
| 7590 08/04/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Leong C. Lei PMB#1008, 1867 Ygnacio Valley Rd. Walnut Creek, CA 94598-3214 | | | HARTMANN, GARY S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3671 | 3671 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/652,245 | | CHEN, JUI WEN | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | - | Gary Hartmann | | 3671 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | | | | dress | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howen. a reply within the statutory mireriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application to | ever, may a reply be timely nimum of thirty (30) days w SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED | y filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | | | |
| | 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | • | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the | 3 is/are: a)⊠ accepton the drawing(s) be held arrection is required if the | in abeyance. See 3 e drawing(s) is object | 37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFI | R 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE |) | Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pate | · · | ·152) | | | |
| Pape | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [| Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-19 are objected to because the preamble states "a construction," indicating an apparatus, while the body of the claim clearly recites a method. The preambles should be changed to --a method of constructing--, for example. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 12 and 15 are objected to. Claims 1, 2, 12 and 15 recite the limitations "the macadam stratum" (claim 1, line 5), the pipe heads (claim 2, line 3), "the ventilating pipes" (claim 12, line 2) and "the relevant piping" (claim 15, line 3). These terms lack antecedent bases. Regarding claim 8, the term "PU" should not be abbreviated.

While not objected to, it is noted that the recitations which follow the term "can be" in claims 3-10 and 16-19 are not positively recited, since the limitations are not required to be present in the structure. Therefore, all limitations which follow the term "can be" do not further limit the scope of the claim. Effectively, claims 3-10 and 16-19 have been treated as not further limiting the respective parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "or other paving" in line 10. It is unclear what this phrase refers to and what is and is not within the scope of this phrase. This phrase must be removed from the claim. This phrase has not been further considered.

The term "burring" (claim 1, line 5) is not understood.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gh

GARYS. HARTMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER